

United States Patent and Trademark Office

BT

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/067,607	05/13/2002	Junichi Muramatsu	15248	5886
7590 08/18/2006		EXAMINER		
Scully, Scott, Murphy & Presser			DAWSON, GLENN K	
400 Garden City Plaza Garden City, NY 11530			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•			3731	
			DATE MAILED: 08/18/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/067,607	MURAMATSU ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Glenn K. Dawson	3731				
- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE is not soft time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
2a) <u></u>	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>28 Ju</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowan closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro					
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ 5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□ Applicati 9)□ 10)□	Claim(s) 16-25 and 35-41 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 16-25 and 35-41 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers The specification is objected to by the Examined The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the content of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examined Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examined Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examined Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examined Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examined Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examined Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examined Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examined Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examined Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examined Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examined Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examined Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examined Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examined Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath of the oath of the oath of the oath	vn from consideration. r election requirement. r. epted or b) □ objected to by the lidrawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the lidrawing(s) is objected to by the lidrawing(s) be held in abeyance.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date <u>02-05-2002</u> .	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:					

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 07-28-2006 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 21-25 and 35-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 21 recites the limitations "the hole" and "the clip". However, since two of each have been previously recited there is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir.

Application/Control Number: 10/067,607

Art Unit: 3731

1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 16,19-21,24,25 and 35-41 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1,8,21 and 26 of U.S. Patent No. 7,081,121. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the application claims are merely broader than those of the patent (due to the use of the "engaging means" in the patent), or include obvious modifications, such as the bulged portion being flat or pipe-shaped (any enlarged shape would work equally as well). Additionally, making the connection or coupling a linear one would have been obvious given the use of a tube and slidable wire.

Claims 17,18,22 and 23 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1,8,21 and 26 of U.S. Patent No. 7,081,121 in view of Matsuno-JP 9-289989.

The patent claims the same invention as the application with the exception of the clip tightening rings. Matsuno discloses a clip-tightening ring. It would have been obvious to have provided the patented device with a clip tightening ring in order to provide a clip applying device which can easily position and operate the opening and closing of the clip upon the intended tissues.

Application/Control Number: 10/067,607

Art Unit: 3731

Response to Arguments

Page 4

Applicant's arguments with respect to all of the claims have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Glenn K. Dawson whose telephone number is 571-272-4694. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 7:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anhtuan T. Nguyen can be reached on 571-272-4963. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Glenn K Dawson Primary Examiner Art Unit 3731 Application/Control Number: 10/067,607

Art Unit: 3731

Gkd 15 August 2006 Page 5